

**Recent Trends in
Population, Labor Force, Employment, and Unemployment
Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands
1973 to 1999
Second Edition
September 2000**

**Department of Commerce
Central Statistics Division**

Contents

Introduction	7
Summary	9
Chapter 1. CNMI Population	11
1.0 Population	11
1.1 Population by Age	12
1.1.1 Median Age	12
1.1.2 Age Distribution	12
1.2 Population by Citizenship	14
1.3 Population by Place of Birth	15
1.4 Population by Ethnicity	15
1.5 Year of Entry of CNMI Migrants	16
1.6 Reason for Migration to the CNMI	17
Chapter 2. Labor Force Participation	18
2.0 Labor Force Participation	18
2.1 Labor Force by Age	19
2.3 Labor Force by Citizenship	19
2.4 Labor Force by Place of Birth	20
2.5 Labor Force by Ethnicity	20
Chapter 3. Employment	22
3.0 Total Employment	22
3.1 Employment by Major Industries	22
3.1.1 Employment by Major Industries and Sex	23
3.1.2 Employment by Major Industries and Citizenship	23
3.1.3 Employment By Industry and by Place of Birth	24
3.1.4 Employment by Ethnicity	24
3.2 Employment by Occupation	25
3.2.1 Employment distribution by Occupation and sex	25
3.2.2 Employment distribution by Occupation and citizenship	26
3.3 Employment by Class of Workers	26
3.3.2 Employment distribution by Class of Worker and by Citizenship	27
Chapter 4. Unemployment	28
4.0 Unemployment	28
4.1 Unemployment by Age	28
4.3 Unemployment by Citizenship	29
4.4 Unemployment by Place of Birth	30
4.5 Unemployment by Ethnicity	30
Appendix A: Time Series Tables on Population, Labor Force, Employment, Unemployment, and Wages, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, 1973 to 1999	31
Appendix B: Procedure for Estimating Populations in the Surveys	81

List of Tables

Table A.1.0	CNMI Population by Age and Sex, 1973 to 1995	38
Table A.2.0	CNMI Population by Citizenship and Sex, 1973 to 1995	39
Table A.3.0	CNMI Population by Age and Citizenship, 1973 to 1995	40
Table A.4.0	CNMI Population by Place of Birth, 1973 to 1995	41
Table A.5.0	CNMI Population by Ethnicity, 1980 to 1995	42
Table A.6.0	Year of Entry of Persons Born Outside and Migrated into the CNMI, 1995	43
Table A.7.0	Reason for Migrating into the CNMI, 1995	44
Table A.8.0	Summary of Labor Force Participation by Sex in the CNMI, 1973 to 1995	45
Table A.9.0	CNMI Labor Force Participation by Age and Sex, 1973 to 1995	46
Table A.9.1	CNMI Labor Force Participation Rates by Age, 1973 to 1995	47
Table A.10.0	CNMI Labor Force Participation by Citizenship and Sex, 1973 to 1995	48
Table A.10.1	CNMI Labor Force Participation Rates by Citizenship, 1973 to 1995	49
Table A.11.0	CNMI Labor Force Participation by Birthplace and Sex, 1973 to 1995	50
Table A.11.1	CNMI Labor Force Participation Rates by Birthplace, 1973 to 1995	51
Table A.12.0	CNMI Labor Force Participation by Ethnicity and Sex, 1980 to 1995	52
Table A.12.1	CNMI Labor Force Participation by Ethnicity, 1980 to 1995	53
Table A.13.0	CNMI Total Employment by Major Industries, 1980 to 1995	54
Table A.14.0	CNMI Employment by Major Industries and Citizenship, 1980 to 1995	55
Table A.15.0	Born in the CNMI Employment by Major Industry, 1980 to 1995	56
Table A.15.1	Born in Micronesia Employment by Major Industry, 1980 to 1995	57
Table A.15.2	Born in the Philippines Employment by Major Industry, 1980 to 1995	58
Table A.15.3	Born in China Employment by Major Industry, 1980 to 1995	59
Table A.16.0	Chamorro Employment by Major Industry, 1980 to 1995	60
Table A.16.1	Carolinian Employment by Major Industry, 1980 to 1995	61
Table A.16.2	Micronesian Employment by Major Industry, 1980 to 1995	62
Table A.16.3	Filipino Employment by Major Industry, 1980 to 1995	63
Table A.16.4	Chinese Employment by Major Industry, 1980 to 1995	64
Table A.17.0	CNMI Employment by Occupation, 1980 to 1995	65
Table A.18.0	CNMI Employment by Occupation and Citizenship, 1980 to 1995	66
Table A.19.0	Born in the CNMI Employment by Major Occupation, 1980 to 1995	67
Table A.19.1	Born in Micronesia Employment by Major Occupation, 1980 to 1995	68
Table A.19.2	Born in the Philippines Employment by Major Occupation, 1980 to 1995	69
Table A.19.3	Born in China Employment by Major Occupation, 1980 to 1995	70
Table A.20.0	Chamorro Employment by Occupation, 1980 to 1995	71
Table A.20.1	Carolinian Employment by Occupation, 1980 to 1995	72
Table A.20.2	Micronesian Employment by Occupation, 1980 to 1995	73
Table A.20.3	Filipino Employment by Occupation, 1980 to 1995	74
Table A.20.4	Chinese Employment by Occupation, 1980 to 1995	75
Table A.21.0	CNMI Total Employment by Class of Worker, 1980 to 1995	76
Table A.22.0	CNMI Total Employment by Class of Worker and Citizenship, 1980 to 1995	77
Table A.23.0	CNMI Unemployment by Age Group and Sex, 1973 to 1995	78
Table A.23.1	CNMI Unemployment Rate by Age Group, 1973 to 1995	79
Table A.24.0	CNMI Unemployment by Citizenship and Sex, 1973 to 1995	80
Table A.24.1	CNMI Unemployment Rate by Citizenship, 1973 to 1995	81
Table A.25.0	CNMI Unemployment by Place of Birth and Sex, 1973 to 1995	82
Table A.25.1	CNMI Unemployment Rate by Place of Birth, 1973 to 1999	83
Table A.26.0	CNMI Unemployment by Ethnicity and Sex, 1980 to 1995	84
Table A.26.1	CNMI Unemployment Rate by Ethnicity, 1980 to 1995	85
Table A.27.0	CNMI Unemployment by Education Level, 1973 to 1995	86
Table A.27.1	CNMI Unemployment Rate by Education Level, 1973 to 1995	87
Table A.28.0	CNMI Mean Wages by Industry, 1980 to 1995	88
Table A.29.0	CNMI Mean Wages by Occupation, 1980 to 1995	89
Table A.30.0	CNMI Mean Wages by Class of Worker, 1980 to 1995	90
Table A.31.0	CNMI Mean Wages by Ethnicity, 1980 to 1995	91

Table A.32.0	CNMI Mean Wages by Industry and Place of Birth, 1980 to 1995.....	92
Table A.33.0	CNMI Mean Wages by Occupation and Place of Birth, 1980 to 1995	93
Table A.34.0	CNMI Mean Wages by Class of Worker and Place of Birth, 1980 to 1995	94
Table A.35.0	CNMI Mean Wages by Place of Birth, 1980 to 1995	95
Table A.36.0	CNMI Household and Family Income, 1980 to 1995	96
Table A.37.0	CNMI Household and Family Median and Mean Income by Ethnicity, 1980 to 1995	97
Table A.38.0	CNMI Total Households, Family households, and Non-family Households, 1980 to 1995	98

List of Charts

Chart 1.0.1	CNMI Population, 1920 to 1999	11
Chart 1.1.2.1	CNMI Male and Female Age Distribution, 1980, 1990, and 1999	13
Chart 1.2.1	CNMI Population Percent Distribution by Citizenship, 1980 to 1999	14
Chart 1.2.2	Male and Female Age Distribution by Citizenship in the CNMI in 1999	14
Chart 1.3.1	Population Percent Distribution by Birthplace, 1973 to 1999	15
Chart 1.3.2	CNMI Population Percent Distribution by Birthplace, 1999	15
Chart 1.4.1	CNMI Population Percent Distribution by Major Ethnic Groups, 1980 to 1999	16
Chart 2.0.1	Labor Force Participation Rates in the CNMI, 1973 to 1999	18
Chart 2.0.2	Male and Female Labor Force Participation Rates in the CNMI, 1973 to 1999	18
Chart 2.1.1	Labor Force Participation by Age Group, 1973 to 1995	19
Chart 2.1.2	Comparison of 1973 and 1995 CNMI Labor Force Age Distribution	19
Chart 2.3.1	CNMI Labor Force Percent Distribution by Citizenship, 1973 to 1999	19
Chart 2.3.2	CNMI Labor Force Participation Rates by Citizenship, 1973 to 1999	20
Chart 2.4.1	CNMI Labor Force Participation Rates by Birthplace, 1995	20
Chart 2.4.2	CNMI Female Labor Force Percent Distribution by Birthplace, Fourth Quarter, 1999	20
Chart 2.5.1	CNMI Labor Force Participation Rates by Ethnicity, 1999	21
Chart 2.5.2	CNMI Labor Force Percent Distribution by Ethnicity, 1980 to 1999	21
Chart 2.5.3	CNMI Labor Force Percent Distribution by Ethnicity, 1999	21
Chart 3.0.1	Total Number of Employed Persons in the CNMI, 1980 to 1999	22
Chart 3.1.1	CNMI Employment Percent Distribution by Industry, 1980 to 1999	22
Chart 3.1.1.1	CNMI Male-Female Employment Composition by Industry, 1999	23
Chart 3.1.1.2	CNMI Female Employment Percent Distribution by Industry, 1999	23
Chart 3.1.2.1	CNMI Employment by Citizenship	23
Chart 3.1.2.2	CNMI Employment, Citizenship Percent Distribution by Industry, Fourth Quarter, 1999	24
Chart 3.1.4.1	Chamorro Employment Distribution by Industry, CNMI, 1999	24
Chart 3.1.4.2	Carolinian Employment Distribution by Industry, CNMI, 1999	24
Chart 3.1.4.3	Micronesian Employment Distribution by Industry, CNMI, 1999	25
Chart 3.1.4.4	Filipino Employment Distribution by Industry, CNMI, 1999	25
Chart 3.1.4.5	Chinese Employment Distribution by Industry, CNMI, 1999	25
Chart 3.2.1	CNMI Employment Percent Distribution by Occupation, 1980 to 1999	25
Chart 3.2.2.1	CNMI Employment Percent Non-US Citizen by occupation, 1999	26
Chart 3.3.1	CNMI Employment Distribution by Class of Worker, 1980 to 1999	26
Chart 3.3.2.1	CNMI Employment Percent Non-US Citizenship by Class of Worker, 1980 to 1999	27
Chart 4.0.1	CNMI Unemployment Rate, 1973 to 1999	28
Chart 4.1.1	CNMI Unemployment Rate by Age Group, 1973 to 1995	28
Chart 4.1.2	CNMI Unemployment Percent Distribution by Age Group, 1973 and 1995	29
Chart 4.3.1	CNMI Unemployment Rate by Citizenship, 1980 to 1999	29
Chart 4.3.2	CNMI Unemployment Percent Distribution by Citizenship, 1973 to 1999	29
Chart 4.5.1	CNMI Unemployment Rate by Single Ethnic Group, 1995 and 1999	30
Chart 4.5.2	CNMI Unemployment Percent Distribution by Ethnicity, 1999	30

Introduction

This report is an update of the initial report on trends in population, labor force, employment and unemployment in the CNMI. This report include the results of the 4th quarter 1999 Current Labor Force Survey in the CNMI. The fourth quarter, 1999, CLFS included Saipan, Rota, and Tinian making the results comparable to prior censuses; however, the survey did not collect data on education and wages. Hence, this report update trends in population, labor force, employment, and unemployment, but not wages in the CNMI.

Unlike the first report that had two sets of tables, this update only has one set of tables in Appendix A.

The format of the report remained the same—the report summarizes the trends in the body of the report and makes extensive references to tables in Appendix A.

Population in the CNMI from 1996 to 1999 were estimated based on the methodology described in Appendix B.

Summary

The following summary highlights major trends and changes in the CNMI population, labor force, employment, and unemployment from 1973 to 1999.

Population

- Population in the CNMI increased dramatically between 1980 and 1990 and continued to increase through 1999.
- Migration was the main reason for the drastic increase in the CNMI population during this period.
- Employment and business purposes were the main reasons for migrating into the CNMI—the majority of all migrants in the CNMI were temporary resident workers, business persons and their spouses and dependents. The migrant-worker population now outnumbers the local population. The vast majority of migrant-workers were non-US citizens who came from Asian countries, particularly, from the Philippines and China.
- The growth in the migrant-worker population has influenced the demographics of the overall CNMI population. From 1980 to 1999,
 - Age distribution shifted from a younger population to a working-middle-age population
 - Median age increased from 19.6 in 1980 to 27.4 years of age in 1995.
 - Birthplace of the population shifted from a majority born in the CNMI in 1980 to majority born outside of the CNMI in 1990 and after.
 - Citizenship of the population shifted from a majority US citizens in 1980 to a majority non-US citizens in 1990 and after.
 - Ethnic composition changed from a Chamorro majority in 1980 to Asian majority with Filipino becoming the largest single ethnic group by 1990 and after.

Labor Force and Employment

- Drastic increases in economic activities during this period led to high labor force participation and employment in the CNMI. The local population entered the labor force in unprecedented numbers. Because of a relatively small population base, the economy began to rely on outside sources for manpower needs resulting in a large number of migrant-workers.
- The reliance on migrant labor resulted in shifts in the labor force.
 - From a minority in 1973, migrant workers increased drastically after 1980 becoming the majority of the total CNMI labor force by 1990.
 - From a minority in 1980, non-US citizens become the majority of the total CNMI work force by 1990.
 - The birthplace of the labor force shifted from a majority born in the CNMI to a majority born outside.
 - The ethnic composition of the labor force changed from a predominantly Chamorro in 1980 to predominantly Asians, with Filipinos being the largest single ethnic group in the labor force by 1990 and after.
 - By 1990 and after, migrant workers outnumbered local workers in all industries, except in “public administration” and “finance, insurance, and real estate”.

Unemployment

- Unemployment rate was high in 1973 (12.6%), low in 1980 (2.4%) and 1990 (2.3%), but increased in 1995 to 7.3 percent and was estimated at 4.3 percent in 1999, fourth quarter.
 - Unemployment rate has been higher for the local work force compared to the migrant work

force. However, migrant-workers are becoming a significant proportion of the total unemployment—from about 10 percent in 1980 to about 44 percent of total unemployment in 1995 and estimated at about 24 percent in 1999, fourth quarter.

- Between 1980 and 1999, age distribution of the unemployment population has shifted higher.
- The birthplace of unemployed persons changed from 1980 to 1999—persons born outside have been increasing as a proportion of total unemployed.
- The citizenship composition of unemployed persons changed from mostly US citizens in 1980 to a significantly non-US citizens by 1995.
- The ethnic composition of unemployed persons in the CNMI became more Micronesians and Asians and relatively less Chamorros and Carolinians.

Chapter 1. CNMI Population

1.0 Population

The total population in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI) increased steadily from 8,290 (in 1958) to 16,780 (in 1980). The Commonwealth's population more than doubled between 1980 and 1990 to 43,345 persons, an annual growth rate of 9.5 percent. The population in the CNMI continued to grow at an annual rate of 5.6 percent from 1990 to 1995, reaching 58,846 persons in 1995. By 1999, the population was estimated at about 81,000 persons.

Since 1980, the vast majority (about 90%) of CNMI's total population has resided in Saipan. Saipan is the biggest island of the 14 Northern Mariana Islands and is where most of the economic activities take place. In the fourth quarter, calendar year 1999, Saipan's population was estimated at 73,000 persons while Rota's population was estimated at about 4,400 and Tinian's population at about 3,400. Table 1.0 below shows population trends in the CNMI by island from 1920 to 1999. Population estimates for 1996 to 1999 are explained in Appendix C.

The main reason for the dramatic growth in population in the CNMI was migration. The main reasons for migrating into the CNMI were for employment and business opportunities. As shown in this report, CNMI's overall population characteristics—age, ethnicity, citizenship, place of birth, gender—have changed dramatically because of the large increase in the migrant population in the Commonwealth.




Table 1.0 CNMI Total Population by Island, 1920 to 1999

Year	Number of persons					Percent distribution				
	Total	Saipan	Rota	Tinian	N.Islands	Total	Saipan	Rota	Tinian	N.Islands
1999*	81,126	73,272	4,440	3,414	-	100.0	90.4	5.8	3.8	-
1998*	76,708	69,421	4,350	2,937	-	100.0	90.5	5.7	3.8	-
1997*	69,801	62,956	4,023	2,822	-	100.0	90.2	5.8	4.0	-
1996*	63,613	57,181	3,721	2,711	-	100.0	89.9	5.8	4.3	-
1995	58,846	52,698	3,509	2,631	8	100.0	89.6	6.0	4.5	0.0
1992*	52,900	47,786	2,561	2,553	-	100.0	90.3	4.8	4.8	-
1990	43,345	38,896	2,295	2,118	36	100.0	89.7	5.3	4.9	0.1
1980	16,780	14,549	1,261	866	104	100.0	86.7	7.5	5.2	0.6
1973	14,333	12,382	1,104	714	133	100.0	86.4	7.7	5.0	0.9
1967	10,986	9,035	1,078	610	263	100.0	82.2	9.8	5.6	2.4
1958	8,290	6,654	969	405	262	100.0	80.3	11.7	4.9	3.2
1935	4,297	3,194	788	24	291	100.0	74.3	18.3	0.6	6.8
1930	3,829	2,915	644	43	227	100.0	76.1	16.8	1.1	5.9
1925	3,493	2,639	487	180	187	100.0	75.6	13.9	5.2	5.4
1920	3,398	2,449	651	112	186	100.0	72.1	19.2	3.3	5.5

Note:* Population for these years is based on estimates while population for all other years are census counts.

1.1 Population by Age

The age structure of the CNMI population changed significantly between 1980 and 1990: the median age of the population increased and the age distribution of the population changed from a relatively young population to a more middle-aged population.

1.1.1 Median Age

The median age of the CNMI population increased quite drastically from 19.6 years in 1980 to 27.4 years in 1990. The median age is the age that divides the population into two halves; for example, half of the population in the CNMI was younger than 27.4 and the other half was older than 27.4 years of age. The median age increased during this period for two reasons: (1) the CNMI's indigenous population was aging, people were living longer and birth rate was declining; but more importantly, (2) the presence of a large migrant-worker population in the CNMI, which is concentrated between ages 20 and 54, pulled the median age of the CNMI's total population higher. The median age remained at this higher level between 1990 and 1999.


1.1.2 Age Distribution

The age distribution of the CNMI total population shifted significantly between 1980 and 1990. In 1973, and in 1980, age group 0 to 4 years old, constituted the largest age group followed by age groups 5 to 9, 10 to 14, 15 to 19, 20 to 24, ..., 80 to 84, and 85 and over. But by 1990, age group 25 to 29 became the largest age group followed by age groups 30 to 34, age group 20 to 24, age group 35 to 39 and then age group 0 to 04 years old. Since 1990, age groups between 20 to 39 years of age (the middle age-groups) have continued to outnumber the lower and the higher age groups. The shift in the age structure of the CNMI population is best described using a population pyramid chart.

In a population pyramid, a horizontal bar represents each age group of the male population and each age group of the female population. The horizontal bars for each age group for male and female populations together form a chart that resembles a pyramid, such as the top part of Chart 1.1.2.1 below. The shape of the pyramid depicts the age structure of the study population.

In 1980, the CNMI population pyramid resembled a regular pyramid shape, where age group 0-4, at the bottom of the chart, was the largest age group followed by age group 5-9, 10-14, 15-19 and on up to age group 75 and over. The shape of the CNMI population pyramid shifted from this regular shape between 1980 and 1990. By 1990, the horizontal bars no longer resembled a regular pyramid, but looked more like a Christmas tree or a two-tier pyramid, one bigger pyramid sitting on top of a smaller one. In 1995 the age group horizontal bars deviated even further from the regular pyramid shape. This two-tier pyramid shape continued up to 1999. The shift in age distribution, as depicted by the population pyramid, resulted from the age distribution of the migrant-worker population in the CNMI. The shape of the larger pyramid on top, that starts at age group 20 to 24 through age group 45 to 49, is dictated by the number of migrant workers in the Commonwealth who outnumbered the indigenous population in these age groups. The smaller pyramid on the bottom, that starts from age group 0 to 4 through 15 to 19 age group, reflects the increasing number of total births in the CNMI in recent years. But although the number of births has been increasing in recent years, the number of migrant workers remains higher still. Comparing the age pyramids for 1980 through 1999 also explains why the median age of the CNMI population is much higher now than it was in 1973 or 1980.

Chart 1.1.2.1 CNMI Male and Female Age Distribution, 1980, 1990, and 1999



The percent distribution of the age groups also shows the shift in the age structure of the CNMI's population. As shown in Table A.1.0., in 1973, age group 0 to 4 years old constituted the largest age group at 16.7% of total population followed by age group 5 to 9 years of age, 10 to 14, and 15 to 19 at 15.1%, 13.2%, and 11.3 %, respectively. But by 1990, age group 25 to 29 years old became the largest age group at 15.9% of total population, followed by age group 30 to 34 years of age, 35 to 39 and 20 to 24 age groups at 14.1%, 10.8%, and 9.8%, respectively.

Age distributions among males and females were similar in 1973 and 1980, but by 1990 and after, the female population became relatively younger than the male population. The age percent distribution of females and males in 1995 reveals that, on the one hand, the female age group 25 to 29 years constituted almost 18% of total females followed by the 20 to 24 and 35 to 39 age groups at 14.2% and 12.9%, respectively. On the other hand, the male age group 30 to 34 years of age constituted 13.3% of total male population followed by age groups 35 to 39 and 25 to 29 years of age at 12.4% and 12.4%, respectively.

The same population age structure in the CNMI in 1995 continued through to 1999, as shown in Table A.1.0 in Appendix A.

1.2 Population by Citizenship

The migrant-worker population has influenced the characteristics of the overall population in the CNMI. One way to see this influence is to look at the total population by citizenship over time. As shown in the Chart 1.2.1, in 1973 over 80 percent of the CNMI population were US citizens and in 1980 just under 80 percent were U.S. citizens. But by 1990 and later, more than

50 percent of total CNMI population were non US citizens. A summary of the CNMI total population by sex and by citizenship is shown in Table A.2.0 in Appendix A. Recent labor force survey in the CNMI indicates the same trend, as shown in Table A.2.0

The fact that the migrant-worker population was concentrated between 20 to 54 years is best depicted in a population pyramid showing males and females by age group and citizenship. For example, Chart 1.2.2 below reveals clearly that non-US citizens outnumbered US citizens in the middle age-groups (20 to 54 years old).

In addition to the pyramid chart, as shown in Table A.3.0 in Appendix A, in 1995, non-US citizen females outnumbered US citizen females more than 4 to 1 in age group 25 to 29, more than 3 to 1 in age group 20 to 24 and 30 to 34, and 2 to 1 in age groups 35 to 39. Similarly, non-US citizen males outnumbered US citizen males 3 to 1 in age groups, 30 to 34 and 35 to 39 and 2 to 1 in age groups 25 to 29 and 40 to 44. Recent labor force survey in the CNMI indicates this same trend, as shown in Table A.3.0, non-US citizens continued to outnumber US citizens in the middle age-groups in the CNMI in 1999.






Chart 1.2.2 Male and Female Age Distribution by Citizenship in the CNMI in 1999




1.3 Population by Place of Birth

A look at the CNMI population by place of birth is similar to what has been shown by looking at total population by citizenship; that is, the majority of total population were migrant workers and their dependents who were born outside and migrated into the CNMI recently.

As shown in Chart 1.3.1, in 1973 and 1980, the vast majority (74.9% and 71.5%, respectively) of the CNMI population were born in the Marianas. But by 1990 and after, the majority of the total population in the CNMI were born outside, mostly in Asian countries, particularly in the Philippines and, more recently, China. In 1995, almost 50 percent of total population were born in Asian countries and migrated into the CNMI; 30.4 percent were born in the Philippines and 11.5 percent were born in China. CNMI-born were 37.8 percent of total population while persons born in Micronesia were almost 6 percent of the total population.



Recent labor force survey in the CNMI indicate the same population trend by place of birth. According to recent survey, as shown in Chart 1.3.2, in 1999, persons born in the CNMI were 40 percent of the CNMI's total population, followed by persons born in the Philippines (25%) and persons born in China (16%). Persons born in Micronesia were about 5 percent of the CNMI's total population in 1999.




As shown in Table A.4.0, birthplace by sex reveal that females born in China increased much faster than any other group, since 1990, becoming the second largest group of the total female population in the CNMI in 1999, at over 24 percent.

1.4 Population by Ethnicity

With the presence of a large migrant worker population, the CNMI is now a multi-ethnic population.

As shown in Table A.5.0, in 1980, about 57 percent of the CNMI population were Chamorros, about 14 percent were Carolinians, 10 percent were Filipinos, and about 9 percent were Micronesians (from Palau, FSM, and the Marshall Islands). However, by 1990 Filipinos surpassed Chamorros as the largest single ethnic group. In 1990, about 32 percent of CNMI's population were Filipinos, 29 percent were Chamorros, over 5 percent were Carolinians, 8 percent were Micronesians and about 7 percent were Chinese. In the 90s, the Filipinos contin-

ued to be the largest single ethnic group while Chinese were the fastest growing ethnic group. The Chinese were less than one percent (0.2%) of CNMI's population in 1980, but increase to 6.7% in 1990, and 11.5% in 1995. Recent labor force survey, as shown Chart 1.4.1 and in Table A.5.0, indicate that Chinese were about 16% of the CNMI's total population in 1999. Since 1980, Chamorros and Carolinians have been declining while Asians have been increasing as proportions of the CNMI's population. The Micronesians have remained at about the same proportion of the total population since 1980.



The number of Individuals who report multiple ethnic background has been increasing since 1980, perhaps reflecting growing inter-marriage among different ethnic groups in the CNMI. Persons who reported multiple ethnic background were only 3% of the total CNMI population in 1980, but by 1995 this group had increased to almost 10% of the total CNMI population.

More recent data on ethnicity in the CNMI indicate that, like the place of birth by sex, in 1999, Chinese women constituted the second largest single ethnic group of the total female population in the CNMI while Filipino males constituted the largest single ethnic group of the total male population in the CNMI, as shown in detail in Table A.5.0 in Appendix A.

1.5 Year of Entry of CNMI Migrants

The fact that the majority of the current CNMI population are workers who migrated into the Commonwealth in recent years is best described by looking at the "year-of-entry" of those born

Table 1.5 Year of Entry of Persons Born Outside CNMI, 1995

Year of Entry	Total no. of persons born outside	Percent Distribution	Percent cumulative Distribution
Total Born outside CNMI	36,626	100.0	-
1994 or 1995	17,319	47.3	47.3
1990 to 1993	12,357	33.7	81.0
1987 to 1989	3,216	8.8	89.8
1985 to 1986	1,029	2.8	92.6
1980 to 1984	1,269	3.5	96.1
1975 to 1979	605	1.7	97.7
1965 to 1974	547	1.5	99.2
Before 1965	284	0.8	100.0
Not a US citizen	31,357	100.0	-
1994 or 1995	15,284	48.7	48.7
1990 to 1993	10,976	35.0	83.7
1987 to 1989	2,675	8.5	92.3
1985 to 1986	789	2.5	94.8
1980 to 1984	880	2.8	97.6
1975 to 1979	390	1.2	98.8
1965 to 1974	250	0.8	99.6
Before 1965	113	0.4	100.0

outside and who migrated into the CNMI. In 1995, the most recent year when complete data are available, over 62% (36,626 persons) of the total CNMI population were born outside and migrated into the CNMI. Of this total, almost half (17,319 persons) came into the CNMI within the 2 years (1994 and 1995) preceding the census date, September 9, 1995. Another one-third came within the 3 to 5 years preceding the census date. In other words, over 80 percent of migrants had only been in the CNMI 5 years of the 1995 census date.

As shown in Table A.6.0 in Appendix A, about 90 percent of the estimated total migrants in the CNMI in 1999 were non-US citizens. About 47 percent of these came to the CNMI within the 3 years before the 4th quarter, 1999, survey period, 80 percent came within the 10 years of survey period.

Recent survey data in the CNMI indicates the same trend—that the majority of migrants came into the CNMI in recent years, as shown in Table A.6.0.

1.6 Reason for Migration to the CNMI

Almost all migrants in the CNMI came for employment and business purposes. Again, based on the 1995 census, the most recent year when complete data are available, almost 92 percent of all migrants were in the CNMI for employment or business purposes or spouses, dependents or family members of employed or business persons, as shown in Table 1.6 below.

Recent labor force survey data in the CNMI indicates the same trend—that the vast majority of migrants come to the CNMI because of employment and business purposes, as shown in Table A.7.0.


Table 1.6 Reason for Migrating into the CNMI, 1995

	Total no. of persons born outside	Percent distribution	Percent cumulative distribution
All Persons Born outside CNMI	36,626	100.0	-
Employment	27,558	75.2	75.2
Business	996	2.7	78.0
Spouse of employed person	2,460	6.7	84.7
Dependent of employed person	1,620	4.4	89.1
Family member of employed	975	2.7	91.8
Student attending school/col	173	0.5	92.2
Missionary activities	27	0.1	92.3
Visiting/vacation	1,204	3.3	95.6
Other	1,613	4.4	100.0

Chapter 2. Labor Force Participation

2.0 Labor Force Participation

The labor force population includes persons who are 16 years of age and older who are employed or who can be employed and are willing to be employed; the labor force population excludes persons less than 16 years old and those not looking and/or not willing to take a job. (Detailed definitions for labor force participation and other related terms may be found in several sources such as the 1990 Census of Housing and Population). As already established in Chapter 1, the migrant-worker population is concentrated in the middle age-groups and came to the CNMI primarily for employment and business purposes. Hence, the influence of the migrant-worker population is more pronounced on the CNMI labor force demographics—age, citizenship, place of births, ethnicity, and gender—than in the CNMI total population.



The number of persons participating in the labor force in the CNMI increased dramatically between 1980 and 1990 and continued to increase through the rest of the 1990s. The tremendous economic growth in the second half of the 80s and the 90s not only required importation of labor but also enabled the indigenous population to enter the labor force in unprecedented numbers. As shown in Chart 2.0.1, in 1973 and 1980, only 63% of persons 16 years of age and older participated in the labor force. By 1990, labor force participation rate had increased to about 82 percent and has remained over 80 percent since.

Labor force participation rates have increased over time for both males and females; however, it is important to note, as shown in Chart 2.0.2, and Table A.8.0, that while the male labor force participation rate has been relatively high, the female labor force participation rate was low in 1973 (39.6%) and increased to a high of over 81 percent in 1995. Recent labor force survey results in Saipan indicate that female participation rate has remained high in the CNMI, as shown in Table A.8.0.

